# xThis Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

# **BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

# IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.

# P^ŢENT COOPERATION TREATY

#### PCT

#### **NOTIFICATION OF ELECTION**

(PCT Rule 61.2)

#### From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

To:

Commissioner
US Department of Commerce
United States Patent and Trademark
Office, PCT
2011 South Clark Place Room
CP2/5C24
Arlington, VA 22202
ETATS-UNIS D'AMERIQUE

Applicant's or agent's file reference

Date of mailing (day/month/year) 16 May 2001 (16.05.01)

in its capacity as elected Office

inte	ernational application No.
	PCT/US00/25595
Inte	ernational filing date (day/month/year)

19 September 2000 (19.09.00)

AL01056K

Priority date (day/month/year)
21 September 1999 (21.09.99)

**Applicant** 

AFFRIME, Melton, B. et al

	1.	The designated Office is hereby notified of its election made:
		X in the demand filed with the International Preliminary Examining Authority on:
		28 March 2001 (28.03.01)
		in a notice effecting later election filed with the International Bureau on:
	2.	The election X was
l		was not
		made before the expiration of 19 months from the priority date or, where Rule 32 applies, within the time limit under Rule 32.2(b).
		i de la companya de
		·

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland Authorized officer

Maria Kirchner

Telephone No.: (41-22) 338.83.38

Facsimile No.: (41-22) 740.14.35

# (19) World Intellectual Property Organization International Bureau



### 

# (43) International Publication Date 29 March 2001 (29.03.2001)

#### PCT

# (10) International Publication Number WO 01/21161 A3

- (51) International Patent Classification<sup>7</sup>: A61K 31/4545, A61P 37/08
- (21) International Application Number: PCT/US00/25595
- (22) International Filing Date:

19 September 2000 (19.09.2000)

(25) Filing Language:

English

(26) Publication Language:

English

(30) Priority Data: 09/400,147

21 September 1999 (21.09.1999) US

- (71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): SCHER-ING CORPORATION [US/US]; 2000 Galloping Hill Road, Kenilworth, NJ 07033-0530 (US).
- (72) Inventors; and
- (75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): AFFRIME, Melton, B. [US/US]; 11 Whispering Way, Warren, NJ 07059 (US). BANFIELD, Christopher, R. [US/US]; 4 Robin Lane, High Bridge, NJ 08829 (US). GUPTA, Samir, K. [US/US]; 14 Dobson Road, East Brunswick, NJ 08816 (US). PADHI, Desmond [CA/US]; 2026 Warble Court, Thousand Oaks, CA 91320 (US).

- (74) Agent: HOFFMAN, Thomas, D.; Schering-Plough Corporation, Patent Department K-6-1-1990, Kenilworth, NJ 07033-0530 (US).
- (81) Designated States (national): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CR, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KG, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MX, MZ, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, TZ, UA, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZA.
- (84) Designated States (regional): ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

#### Published:


- with international search report
- (88) Date of publication of the international search report: 17 January 2002

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

1/21161 A3

(54) Title: USE OF DESLORATADINE FOR TREATING ALLERGIC AND INFLAMMATORY CONDITIONS

(57) Abstract: The use of desloratadine for the preparation of a medicament for treating and/or preventing allergic and inflammatory conditions of the skin or upper and lower airway passages in a human while avoiding the food effect associated with non-sedating antihistamines, e.g., loratadine or fexofenadine is disclosed.



A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
IPC 7 A61K31/4545 A61P37/08 According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC **B. FIELDS SEARCHED** Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) IPC 7 A61K A61P Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used) EPO-Internal, WPI Data, BIOSIS, CHEM ABS Data, EMBASE, SCISEARCH C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim No. Category ° 1-7 US 5 595 997 A (ABERG A K GUNNAR ET AL) X 21 January 1997 (1997-01-21) column 3, line 21-57 column 4, line 34-45 column 7, line 33-58 column 8, line 10-42 column 10, line 9-13 claims 1,5,6 1-7 X US 5 900 421 A (HANDLEY DEAN A ET AL) 4 May 1999 (1999-05-04) column 3, line 14-22,48-53 column 4, line 27-45 column 5, line 8-20 claims 1.2 -/--Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C. Patent family members are listed in annex. Special categories of cited documents: "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the \*A\* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance invention "E" earlier document but published on or after the international \*X\* document of particular relevance: the claimed invention filing date cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone \*L\* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such docu-"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or ments, such combination being obvious to a person skilled other means document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed "&" document member of the same patent family Date of mailing of the international search report Date of the actual completion of the international search 10/09/2001 29 August 2001 Authorized officer Name and mailing address of the ISA European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 Nl. - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016 Bazzanini, R

Citation of document. with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages  US 4 659 716 A (VILLANI FRANK J ET AL) 21 April 1987 (1987-04-21) column 11, line 24-33 claims 1,3,7,14,15  NOMEIR AMIN A ET AL: "Influence of food on the oral bioavailability of loratadine and pseudoephedrine from extended-release tablets in healthy volunteers" JOURNAL OF CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY, LIPPINCOTT CO, HAGERSTOWN, MD, US, vol. 36, 1996, pages 923-930, XP000926410 ISSN: 0091-2700 abstract page 924, right-hand column, line 17-26 page 924, right-hand column, line 34 -page 925, left-hand column, line 34 -page 925, left-hand column, line 34 -page 925, left-hand column, line 3 page 927, left-hand column, line 35 tables I,II figure 3  X SALMUN L M ET AL: "EFFICACY AND SAFETY OF DESLORATADINE IN SEASONAL ALLERGIC RHINITIS" JOURNAL OF ALLERGY AND CLINICAL IMMUNOLOGY, MOSBY - YEARLY BOOK, INC, US, vol. 105, no. 1, PART 2, January 2000 (2000-01), pages 5384-5385, XP001000942 ISSN: 0091-6749 paragraph '0001!	Relevant to claim No.  1,4-7
US 4 659 716 A (VILLANI FRANK J ET AL) 21 April 1987 (1987-04-21) column 11, line 24-33 claims 1,3,7,14,15  NOMEIR AMIN A ET AL: "Influence of food on the oral bioavailability of loratadine and pseudoephedrine from extended-release tablets in healthy volunteers" JOURNAL OF CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY, LIPPINCOTT CO, HAGERSTOWN, MD, US, vol. 36, 1996, pages 923-930, XP000926410 ISSN: 0091-2700 abstract page 924, left-hand column, line 17-26 page 924, right-hand column, line 34 -page 925, left-hand column, line 34 -page 925, left-hand column, line 35 page 927, left-hand column, line 6-15 page 929, left-hand column, line 25-45 tables I,II figure 3  X SALMUN L M ET AL: "EFFICACY AND SAFETY OF DESLORATADINE IN SEASONAL ALLERGIC RHINITIS" JOURNAL OF ALLERGY AND CLINICAL IMMUNOLOGY, MOSBY - YEARLY BOOK, INC, US, vol. 105, no. 1, PART 2, January 2000 (2000-01), pages 5384-5385, XP001000942 ISSN: 0091-6749	1,4-7
21 April 1987 (1987-04-21) column 11, line 24-33 claims 1,3,7,14,15  NOMEIR AMIN A ET AL: "Influence of food on the oral bioavailability of loratadine and pseudoephedrine from extended-release tablets in healthy volunteers" JOURNAL OF CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY, LIPPINCOTT CO, HAGERSTOWN, MD, US, vol. 36, 1996, pages 923-930, XP000926410 ISSN: 0091-2700 abstract page 924, left-hand column, line 17-26 page 924, right-hand column, line 34 -page 925, left-hand column, line 34 -page 925, left-hand column, line 3 page 927, left-hand column, line 6-15 page 929, left-hand column, line 25-45 tables I,II figure 3  X SALMUN L M ET AL: "EFFICACY AND SAFETY OF DESLORATADINE IN SEASONAL ALLERGIC RHINITIS" JOURNAL OF ALLERGY AND CLINICAL IMMUNOLOGY, MOSBY - YEARLY BOOK, INC, US, vol. 105, no. 1, PART 2, January 2000 (2000-01), pages 5384-5385, XP001000942 ISSN: 0091-6749	
on the oral bioavailability of loratadine and pseudoephedrine from extended-release tablets in healthy volunteers" JOURNAL OF CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY, LIPPINCOTT CO, HAGERSTOWN, MD, US, vol. 36, 1996, pages 923-930, XP000926410 ISSN: 0091-2700 abstract page 924, left-hand column, line 17-26 page 924, right-hand column, line 14-18 page 924, right-hand column, line 34 -page 925, left-hand column, line 3 page 927, left-hand column, line 6-15 page 929, left-hand column, line 25-45 tables I,II figure 3  X SALMUN L M ET AL: "EFFICACY AND SAFETY OF DESLORATADINE IN SEASONAL ALLERGIC RHINITIS" JOURNAL OF ALLERGY AND CLINICAL IMMUNOLOGY, MOSBY - YEARLY BOOK, INC, US, vol. 105, no. 1, PART 2, January 2000 (2000-01), pages 5384-5385, XP001000942 ISSN: 0091-6749	1-7
DESLORATADINE IN SEASONAL ALLERGIC RHINITIS" JOURNAL OF ALLERGY AND CLINICAL IMMUNOLOGY, MOSBY - YEARLY BOOK, INC, US, vol. 105, no. 1, PART 2, January 2000 (2000-01), pages 5384-5385, XP001000942 ISSN: 0091-6749	
	1-7
GUPTA, S.; PADHI, D. ET AL: "The effect of food on the oral bioavailability of desloratadine" THE JOURNAL OF ALLERGY AND CLINICAL IMMUNOLOGY, vol. 105, no. 1, January 2000 (2000-01), pages S386-S387, XP001016245 Abstract 1129	1-7

ion on patent family members

ı "I Application No	
re1/US 00/25595	

Patent document	Publication	Γ	Patent family	Publication
cited in search report	date		member(s)	date
US 5595997 A	21-01-1997	AU	707541 B	15-07-1999
		AU	4512696 A	24-07-1996
		BR	9510129 A	30-12-1997
		CA	2208836 A	11-07-1996
		CN CZ	1176598 A	18-03-1998
		DE	9702026 A 799037 T	18-03-1998 05-10-2000
		EP	1078633 A	28-02-2001
		EP	0799037 A	08-10-1997
		ES.	2144980 T	01-07-2000
		FI	972781 A	27-08-1997
		HU	77315 A	30-03-1998
		JP	10512240 T	24-11-1998
İ		NO	973023 A	19-08-1997
1		SK	88897 A	04-02-1998
		WO	9620708 A	11-07-1996
		US 	5731319 A	24-03-1998 
US 5900421 A	04-05-1999	AU AU	719907 B	18-05-2000
		BR	6434898 A 9807673 A	26-08-1998 15-02-2000
		CN	1246793 T	08-03-2000
		EP	1005345 A	07-06-2000
		NO	993847 A	27-09-1999
		WO	9834611 A	13-08-1998
		US	5962464 A	05-10-1999
		US 	6054463 A	25-04-2000
US 4659716 A	21-04-1987	AT	61364 T	15-03-1991
		AU	638019 B	17-06-1993
		AU AU	5702690 A 600665 B	04-10-1990
		AU	600665 B 5737486 A	23-08-1990 18-12-1986
		CA	1272480 A	07-08-1990
		CA	1307791 A	22-09-1992
1		DE	3677842 D	11-04-1991
		DK	220986 A	14-11-1986
		EP	0208855 A	21-01-1987
		ES	554898 D	16-11-1987
		ES	8800679 A	01-02-1988
		FI	861987 A,B,	14-11-1986
		FI HK	87648 B 75993 A	30-10-1992 06-08-1993
		HU	41731 A,B	28-05-1987
		IE	59110 B	12-01-1994
		ĪĹ	78771 A	29-11-1990
		IL	91953 A	29-11-1990
		JP	2511412 B	26-06-1996
1		JP	61289087 A	19-12-1986
		JP	2519880 B	31-07-1996
		JP KB	8059657 A	05-03-1996
		KR PH	9001853 B 25101 A	24-03-1990 19-02-1991
		PT	82570 A,B	01-06-1986
		SG	69193 G	06-08-1993
		AT	47140 T	15-10-1989
1		AU	570306 B	10-03-1988
		AU	3993885 A	10-09-1985
Form PCT/ISA/210 (patent family annex) (July 1992)			<del></del>	

on on patent family members

i Application No
No. /US 00/25595

			101/03	10/ 20090
Patent document dited in search report	Publication date	1	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 4659716 A		DE	3573600 D	16-11-1989
		DK	469485 A,B,	14-10-1985
		EP	0152897 A	28-08-1985
		FI	853675 A,B,	25-09-1985
		HU	38332 A,B	28-05-1986
		JP	5072910 B	13-10-1993
		JP	61501205 T	19-06-1986
		LU	90738 A	09-05-2001
		WO	8503707 A	29-08-1985

Form PCT/ISA/210 (patent family annex) (July 1992)

# PATENT COOPERATION



EATY



# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's	or agent's file reference		See Notification of Transmittal of International			
AL01056	K	FOR FURTHER ACTIO	N Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPE	:A/416)		
Internationa	l application No.	International filing date (day/m				
PCT/US0	0/25595	19/09/2000	21/09/1999			
	International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC A61K31/4545					
Applicant				·		
SCHERIN	NG CORPORATION et al.					
	nternational preliminary exam transmitted to the applicant a		ared by this International Preliminary Examining	Authority		
2. This F	REPORT consists of a total of	4 sheets, including this cover	er sheet.			
be	his report is also accompanie een amended and are the basee Rule 70.16 and Section 6	sis for this report and/or shee	of the description, claims and/or drawings which ts containing rectifications made before this Autuctions under the PCT).	have hority		
These	annexes consist of a total of	sheets.				
3. This re	eport contains indications rela	ating to the following items:				
ı	Basis of the report					
11	☐ Priority					
l III	☐ Non-establishment of o	pinion with regard to novelty	inventive step and industrial applicability			
l ıv	☐ Lack of unity of invention	on				
V		nder Article 35(2) with regard ons suporting such statemen	to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicabi	lity;		
VI	☐ Certain documents cite	ed				
VII	☐ Certain defects in the in	nternational application	•			
VIII	Certain observations o	n the international applicatior	I.			
Date of submission of the demand  Date of completion of this report						
23/03/200	23/03/2001 11.12.2001					
	nailing address of the international examining authority:	al Auth	orized officer	A LOCA AVENUE A		
	European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656		eck, M			
	Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465	·	phone No. +49 89 2399 8473	S DONO - CONO		

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/US00/25595

I.	Ba	sis f the report	
1.	the and	receiving Office in	nents of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):
	1-2	24	as originally filed
	Cia	ims, No.:	
	1-7		as originally filed
2.			uage, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the nternational application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	The	ese elements were a	available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:
		the language of pu	translation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).  Iblication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).  Itranslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule)
3.			leotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the y examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:
		contained in the inf	ternational application in written form.
		filed together with	the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequ	ently to this Authority in written form.
		furnished subsequ	ently to this Authority in computer readable form.
			the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in oplication as filed has been furnished.
		The statement that listing has been fur	the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence nished.
4.	The	amendments have	resulted in the cancellation of:
		the description,	pages:
		the claims,	Nos.:
		the drawings,	sheets:
5.			en established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been evond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)):



International application No. PCT/US00/25595

(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.)

- 6. Additional observations, if necessary:
- V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- 1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes:

Claims

No:

Claims 1-7

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Yes: Claims 1-7

Industrial applicability (IA)

Claims 1-7

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations see separate sheet

#### **SECTION V:**

- 1) The documents are numbered according to their sequence in the search report.
- 2) The examination has been carried out assuming that the priority is valid, so that the P-documents D5 and D6 have not been taken into consideration.
- 3) The use of desloratadine for the treatment or prevention of allergies, particularly seasonal or perennial allergic rhinitis, or atopic dermatitis or urticaria, while avoiding the food effect, is already known from documents D1 (see the abstract and column 3, lines 21 to 47, column 4, lines 34 to 53, column 7, lines 33 to 38, example 2, and the claims) and D2 (see the abstract, column 3, lines 8 to 54, column 4, lines 7 to 47, column 5, lines 27 to 45, example 2, and the claims).

Therefore the subject-matter of claims 1 to 7 is not novel (Article 33 (2) PCT).

International Application No
T/US 00/25595

		OLID IEAT	MASSA	
A. CLASSIF	ICATION OF	SUBJECT	MAIREH	1
	A61K31	//[/[	A61P37	/ng
TPC 7	ADIASI	/4545	AUTI 37	, ,,

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

#### B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) IPC 7  $\,$  A61K  $\,$  A61P

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, WPI Data, BIOSIS, CHEM ABS Data, EMBASE, SCISEARCH

Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of th	e relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
(	US 5 595 997 A (ABERG A K GUNN 21 January 1997 (1997-01-21) column 3, line 21-57 column 4, line 34-45 column 7, line 33-58 column 8, line 10-42 column 10, line 9-13 claims 1,5,6	AR ET AL)	1-7
X	US 5 900 421 A (HANDLEY DEAN A 4 May 1999 (1999-05-04) column 3, line 14-22,48-53 column 4, line 27-45 column 5, line 8-20 claims 1,2	ET AL)	1-7
X Furt	her documents are listed in the continuation of box C.	Patent family members are liste	d in annex.
"A" docume consid "E" earlier filing of "L" docume which citatio "O" docume other "P" docume	ent defining the general state of the art which is not dered to be of particular relevance document but published on or after the international date ent which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or is cited to establish the publication date of another n or other special reason (as specified) ent referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or means ent published prior to the international filing date but han the priority date claimed	<ul> <li>'T' later document published after the in or priority date and not in conflict will cited to understand the principle or to invention</li> <li>'X' document of particular relevance; the cannot be considered novel or canninvolve an inventive step when the control of particular relevance; the cannot be considered to involve an document is combined with one or ments, such combination being obvinin the art.</li> <li>'&amp;' document member of the same pater</li> </ul>	n the application but heory underlying the claimed invention of be considered to focument is taken alone claimed invention inventive step when the nore other such docu-
	actual completion of the international search	Date of mailing of the international s	
	29 August 2001	10/09/2001	
Name and	mailing address of the ISA  European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2  NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk  Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,  Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016	Bazzanini, R	

International Application No

	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	1703 00/25393
	ation) DOCUMENTS CONSTREED TO BE RELEVANT	Relevant to claim No.
Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	, idestant to dealine to
Х	US 4 659 716 A (VILLANI FRANK J ET AL) 21 April 1987 (1987-04-21) column 11, line 24-33 claims 1,3,7,14,15	1,4-7
X	NOMEIR AMIN A ET AL: "Influence of food on the oral bioavailability of loratadine and pseudoephedrine from extended-release tablets in healthy volunteers" JOURNAL OF CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY, LIPPINCOTT CO, HAGERSTOWN, MD, US, vol. 36, 1996, pages 923-930, XP000926410 ISSN: 0091-2700 abstract page 924, left-hand column, line 17-26 page 924, right-hand column, line 14-18 page 924, right-hand column, line 34 -page 925, left-hand column, line 3 page 927, left-hand column, line 6-15 page 929, left-hand column, line 25-45 tables I,II figure 3	1-7
P,X	SALMUN L M ET AL: "EFFICACY AND SAFETY OF DESLORATADINE IN SEASONAL ALLERGIC RHINITIS" JOURNAL OF ALLERGY AND CLINICAL IMMUNOLOGY, MOSBY - YEARLY BOOK, INC, US, vol. 105, no. 1, PART 2, January 2000 (2000-01), pages 5384-5385, XP001000942 ISSN: 0091-6749 paragraph '0001!	1-7
P,X	GUPTA, S.; PADHI, D. ET AL: "The effect of food on the oral bioavailability of desloratadine" THE JOURNAL OF ALLERGY AND CLINICAL IMMUNOLOGY, vol. 105, no. 1, January 2000 (2000-01), pages S386-S387, XP001016245 Abstract 1129	1-7

nation on patent family members

International Application No
T/US 00/25595
mily Publication

Patent document cited in search repo	rt	Publication date		Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 5595997	A	21-01-1997	AU AU BR CA CN CZ DE EP ES FI HU JP NO SK WO US	707541 B 4512696 A 9510129 A 2208836 A 1176598 A 9702026 A 799037 T 1078633 A 0799037 A 2144980 T 972781 A 77315 A 10512240 T 973023 A 88897 A 9620708 A 5731319 A	15-07-1999 24-07-1996 30-12-1997 11-07-1996 18-03-1998 18-03-1998 05-10-2000 28-02-2001 08-10-1997 01-07-2000 27-08-1997 30-03-1998 24-11-1998 19-08-1997 04-02-1998 11-07-1996 24-03-1998
US 5900421	A	04-05-1999	AU AU BR CN EP NO WO US	719907 B 6434898 A 9807673 A 1246793 T 1005345 A 993847 A 9834611 A 5962464 A 6054463 A	18-05-2000 26-08-1998 15-02-2000 08-03-2000 07-06-2000 27-09-1999 13-08-1998 05-10-1999 25-04-2000
US 4659716	A	21-04-1987	AT AU AU AU CA DE ES FI HHU IL JP JP PT SAT AU AU	61364 T 638019 B 5702690 A 600665 B 5737486 A 1272480 A 1307791 A 3677842 D 220986 A 0208855 A 554898 D 8800679 A 861987 A,B, 87648 B 75993 A 41731 A,B 59110 B 78771 A 91953 A 2511412 B 61289087 A 2519880 B 8059657 A 9001853 B 25101 A 82570 A,B 69193 G 47140 T 570306 B 3993885 A	15-03-1991 17-06-1993 04-10-1990 23-08-1990 18-12-1986 07-08-1990 22-09-1992 11-04-1991 14-11-1986 21-01-1987 16-11-1987 01-02-1988 14-11-1986 30-10-1992 06-08-1993 28-05-1987 12-01-1994 29-11-1990 29-11-1990 29-11-1990 29-11-1990 29-11-1990 29-11-1996 05-03-1996 05-03-1996 04-03-1990 19-02-1991 01-06-1986 06-08-1993 15-10-1989 10-03-1988 10-09-1985

mation on patent family members

International	Application No
T/US	00/25595

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date		Patent family member(s)	Publication date	
US 4659716 A		DE	3573600 D	16-11-1989	
		DK	469485 A,B,	14-10-1985	
		EP	0152897 A	28-08-1985	
		FI	853675 A,B,	25-09-1985	
		HU	38332 A,B	28-05-1986	
		JP	5072910 B	13-10-1993	
		JP	61501205 T	19-06-1986	
		LU	90738 A	09-05-2001	
		WO	8503707 A	29-08-1985	



(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference		f Transmittal of International Search Report 20) as well as, where applicable, item 5 below.		
AL01056K	ACTION (FORM PCT/ISAV2	20) as well as, where applicable, item 5 below.		
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year)	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)		
PCT/US 00/25595	00/ 25595 19/09/2000 21/09/1999			
Applicant				
SCHERING CORPORATION et a	1.			
This International Search Report has been according to Article 18. A copy is being tra	n prepared by this International Searching Authansmitted to the International Bureau.	nority and is transmitted to the applicant		
This International Search Report consists  [X] It is also accompanied by	of a total of3 sheets. a copy of each prior art document cited in this	report.		
Basis of the report				
<ul> <li>a. With regard to the language, the language in which it was filed, unl</li> </ul>	international search was carried out on the bases otherwise indicated under this item.	sis of the international application in the		
the international search w Authority (Rule 23.1(b)).	ras carried out on the basis of a translation of the	he international application furnished to this		
b. With regard to any <b>nucleotide an</b> was carried out on the basis of the		ternational application, the international search		
	ernational application in computer readable form	n.		
	this Authority in written form.			
	this Authority in computer readble form.			
the statement that the sub-	osequently furnished written sequence listing dissified has been furnished.	oes not go beyond the disclosure in the		
the statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished				
2. Certain claims were fou	nd unsearchable (See Box I).			
3. Unity of invention is lac	king (see Box II).			
4. With regard to the <b>title</b> ,				
the text is approved as su	bmitted by the applicant.			
	shed by this Authority to read as follows:			
USE OF DESLORATADINE F	FOR TREATING ALLERGIC AND IN	FLAMMATORY CONDITIONS		
5. With regard to the abstract,				
X the text is approved as su	ibmitted by the applicant.			
the text has been establis within one month from the	shed, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authori e date of mailing of this international search rep	ty as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, oort, submit comments to this Authority.		
6. The figure of the <b>drawings</b> to be pub	lished with the abstract is Figure No.			
as suggested by the appl	icant.	X None of the figures.		
because the applicant fail				
because this figure better characterizes the invention.				



### TREATING ALLERGIC AND INFLAMMATORY CONDITIONS

#### BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

This invention relates to treating and/or preventing allergic and inflammatory conditions in a human while avoiding a food effect associated with non-sedating antihistamines by administering an amount of desloratedine effective for such treating and/or preventing.

Loratadine is disclosed in U.S. Patent No. 4,282,233 as a non-sedating antihistamine useful for treating allergic reactions in animals including humans. Fexofenadine is disclosed in U.S. Patent Nos. 4,254,129 and 5,275,693 as a non-sedating antihistamine useful for treating allergic disorders.

Food intake induces changes in the physiology of the gastrointestinal tract that may influence drug absorption and/or drug clearance. Physiological changes induced by food intake can result in, inter alia, delayed gastric emptying, stimulation of bile flow, changes in pH, and increase in splanchnic blood flow. Food intake can also alter luminal metabolism and physically or chemically interact with a drug substance. The effects of co-administration of meals with drugs is generally maximal when the drug product is administered immediately after completion of a meal. Meals that are high in calories, fat and density are likely to provide the greatest effects on bioavailability. While loratadine is safe and efficacious, there is a food effect associated with its administration. After coadministration of meals with loratadine, the effects of loratadine are higher than when loratadine is administered to a patient under fasted condition [See Physican's Desk Reference (PDR), 54<sup>th</sup> Edition, 2000, Medical Economics Co., Montvale, N.J., at page 2782]. Fexofenadine has an opposite food effect; the effects of fexofenadine are greater when administered to a patient under fasted conditions (See CPS, 33<sup>rd</sup> Edition, 1998 Canadian Pharmacists Association, Ottawa, Canada, at page 57).

In the case of another orally-active antihistamine, cetirizine hydrochloride, food had no effect on the cetirizine exposure (AUC), but the Tmax was delayed by

5

10

15

20

1.7 hours and the Cmax was decreased by 23% in the presence of food (See PDR, 54<sup>th</sup> Edition, 2000, at page 2404).

Thus, the complex effects of food, and the physicochemical properties and formulation of antihistamines often make the effect of food intake upon the bioavailability of antihistamines unpredictable.

Desloratedine is disclosed in U.S. Patent No. 4,659,716 as a non-sedating antihistamine useful for treating allergic reactions in animals including humans. We are aware of no publication regarding the association of food intake upon administration of desloratedine.

There is a need for a clinically effective therapy to treat or prevent such allergic and inflammatory conditions of the skin and airway passages in a human while avoiding the food effect associated with non-sedating antihistamines, such as loratedine and fexofenadine as well as other antihistamines such as cetirizine hydrochloride.

#### SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention provides a method of treating and/or preventing allergic and inflammatory conditions of the skin or airway passages in a human in need of such treating and /or preventing while avoiding a food effect associated with non-sedating antihistamines which comprises administering an amount of desloratedine effective for such treating and/or preventing.

The present invention also provides a method of treating and/or preventing seasonal or perennial allergic rhinitis in a human while avoiding a food effect associated with non-sedating antihistamines which comprises administering an amount of desloratedine effective for such treating and/or preventing.

The present invention provides a method of treating and/or preventing atopic dermatitis or urticaria in a human in need of such while avoiding a food effect associated with non-sedating antihistamines which comprises administering an amount of desloratedine effective for such treating and/or preventing.

#### **DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF INVENTION**

The phrase "allergic and inflammatory conditions of the skin or airway passages" is meant those allergic and inflammatory conditions and symptoms

30

5

10

15

20

found on the skin and in the upper and lower airway passages from the nose to the lungs. Typical allergic and inflammatory conditions of the skin or upper and lower airway passages include seasonal and perennial allergic rhinitis, non-allergic rhinitis, asthma including allergic and non-allergic asthma, sinusitis, colds [in combination with a NSAID, e.g., aspirin or ibuprofen, or acetaminophen (APAP) and/or a decongestant, e.g., pseudoephedrine), dermatitis, especially allergic and atopic dermatitis, and urticaria and symptomatic dermographism as well as retinophathy, and small vessel diseases, associated with diabetes mellitus.

The amount of desloratadine effective for treating or preventing allergic and inflammatory conditions of the skin or airway passages will vary with the age, sex, body weight and severity of the allergic and inflammatory condition of the patient. Typically, the amount of desloratadine effective for treating or preventing such allergic and inflammatory conditions is in the range of about 2.5 mg/day to about 45 mg/day, preferably about 2.5 mg/day to about 20 mg/day, or about 5.0 mg/day to about 15 mg/day, or about 5.0 mg/day to about 10 mg/day, more preferably about 5.0 mg/day to about 5.0 mg/day, and most preferably about 5.0 mg/day in single or divided doses, or a single dose of 5.0 mg/day.

Desloratadine is a non-sedating long acting histamine antagonist with potent selective peripheral H1-receptor antagonist activity. Following oral administration, loratadine is rapidly metabolized to descarboethoxyloratadine or desloratadine, a pharmacologically active metabolite. *In vitro* and *in vivo* animal pharmacology studies have been conducted to assess various pharmacodynamic effects of desloratadine and loratadine. In assessing antihistamine activity in mice (comparison of ED<sub>50</sub> value), desloratadine was relatively free of producing alterations in behavior alterations in behavior, neurologic or autonomic function. The potential for desloratadine or loratadine to occupy brain H1-receptors was assessed in guinea pigs following i.p. administration and results suggest poor access to central histamine receptors for desloratadine or loratadine. In vivo studies also suggest that an inhibitory effect of desloratadine on allergic bronchospasm and cough can also be expected.

The clinical efficacy and safety of desloratedine has been documented in over 3,200 seasonal allergic rhinitis patients in 4 double-blinded, randomized clinical trials. The results of these clinical studies demonstrated the efficacy of

5

10

15

20

25

desloratedine in the treatment of adult and adolescent patients with seasonal rhinitis.

Efficacy endpoints in all the studies were Total Symptom Score, Total Nasal Symptom Score, Total Non-nasal Symptom Score, and Health Quality of Life (HQOL) analysis in efficacy trials. Desloratedine (5 mg once daily) significantly reduced the total symptom scores (the sum of individual scores for rhinorrhea, sneezing, congestion/stuffiness, nasal itching, itchy/burning eyes, tearing, ocular redness, and itchy ears/palate). Desloratedine (5 mg) was significantly (p<0.01) more effective than placebo in reducing nasal symptoms. An important efficacy endpoint analyzed in the desloratedine studies is the AM NOW total symptom score. This parameter measures the total symptom relief by the patient after 24 hours before taking the next day dose. Statistically significant (p<0.05) reductions were maintained for the full 24 hour dosing interval over the entire 5 mg to 20 mg dosage range

There were no significant differences in the effectiveness of desloratadine (over the entire 5 mg to 20 mg dosage range) across subgroups of patients defined by gender, age, or race. Desloratadine is particularly useful for the treatment and prevention of the nasal (stuffiness/congestion, rhinorrhea, nasal itching, sneezing) and non-nasal (itchy/burning eyes, tearing/watery eyes, redness of the eyes, itching of the ears/palate) symptoms of seasonal allergic rhinitis, including nasal congestion, in patients in need of such treating and/ or preventing.

Desloratadine is contraindicated in patients who are hypersensitive to this medication or to any of its ingredients.

#### CLINICAL DESIGN FOR STUDY No. 1

This open-label, two-way crossover study in 18 healthly subjects was designed to evaluate the effect of food on the bioavailability of Desloratadine in accordance with the FDA guidelines for evaluating the effect of food on the bioavailability of drugs. Subjects were randomized to receive single desloratadine 7.5 mg tablet under fasted conditions in one treatment period and immediately following a standardized high-fat, high-caloric breakfast meal in the other treatment period.

ر ۱۰ س

5

10

15

20

25

#### STUDY OBJECTIVE

The objective of this study was to evaluate the effect of food on the bioavailability of desloratadine.

#### **INVESTIGATIONAL PLAN**

### Overall Study Design and Plan: Description

A total of 18 healthy subjects were enrolled and successfully completed this randomized, open-label, single-dose, two-way crossover study.

Subjects were screened within 3 weeks of dosing, and those who met the entry criteria were confined to the study center within 12 hours prior to each treatment (Day -1). Upon confinement, subjects had safety laboratory tests and electrocardiograms repeated. The following morning, after fasting for a minimum of 10 hours, subjects received one of the following treatments based on his/her subject number and the study period:

Treatment A: One desloratadine 7.5 mg tablet administered after a 10 hour fast.

Treatment B: One deslorated in 7.5 mg tablet administered immediately following a standardized high-fat high-caloric breakfast.

Subjects randomized to receive the standardized high-fat, high-caloric breakfast (Treatment B) consumed the prescribed meal in a 20-minute period prior to drug administration and received the appropriate dose of desloratedine within 5 minutes after completing the breakfast.

Each dose was administered with 180 mL (6 fl oz) of non-carbonated room temperature water. The tablet was swallowed whole, not chewed or crushed. After dosing, the oral cavity was inspected to assure that the subject had swallowed the tablet. Subjects continued fasting (Treatment A) or did nor eat again (Treatment B) until the 4-hr study procedures were completed, at which time lunch was served. Water was permitted throughout the fasting period except for 2 hours following treatment administration. The subjects remained awake and seated upright/ambulatory for 4 hours post-dose.

9680\_1

20

15

5

10

25

All subjects were confined to the study site until the 168-hour study related procedures were obtained. No strenuous physical activity was permitted, and the subjects were not allowed visitors while they were confined to the study site. A physician was present for all drug administrations and remained on the study site for at least four hours post-dose. A wash-out period of at least 7 days separated each period of the study.

Vital signs and ECGs were performed, and blood samples were collected at pre-specified times for safety and pharmacokinetic evaluations. Subjects were continually observed and questions throughout the study for possible occurrence of adverse events. Subjects were also instructed to report any unusual experiences or discomfort.

#### **Overall Study Design: Discussion**

Since this study was conducted to determine the influence of food on the oral bioavailability (AUC and Cmax) of desloratedine, using the fasting state as reference, an open-label, randomized, two-way crossover design was used to meet the study objective.

#### Study Population/ Inclusion Criteria/ Exclusion Criteria

20

5

10

15

#### Inclusion Criteria:

- Subjects were males or females between the ages of 18 and 45 years inclusive, and had a Body Mass Index (BMI) between 19-27.
- Clinical laboratory tests (CBC, blood chemistries, urinalysis) were within normal limits or clinically acceptable to the Investigator/Sponsor.
- Drug screen for drugs with a high potential for abuse were negative at screening and on admission to the study site.
- Subjects were free of any clinically significant disease that required a physician's care and/or may have interfered with study evaluations, procedures or participation.

30

- Subject gave written informed consent (prior to any study-related procedures being performed) and were willing to adhere to restrictions and examination schedules.
- Subjects had a normal or clinically acceptable physical examination and ECG.

#### **Exclusion Criteria:**

- Subjects who had a history of any clinically significant local or systemic infectious disease within four weeks prior to initial treatment administration.
- Subjects who did not comply with the requirement that he or she should not have used any drugs (except acetaminophen) within 14 days prior to the study nor alcohol or xanthine-containing substances with 72 hours prior to study drug administration.
- Subjects who had participated in a clinical trial of any investigational drug within 30 days prior to the start of the study.
- Subjects who were, or were known to be former, narcotic addicts or alcoholics.
- Subjects who were positive for hepatitis B surface antigen or hepatitis C antibody.
- Subjects who were positive for HIV antibodies.
- Subjects who had a clinically significant history of food or drug allergy.
- Subjects who had a known allergy or intolerance to loratadine.
- Subjects who had donated blood within the preceding 30 days.
- Subjects who smoked, used tobacco products or used an adjunct to smoking cessation within the past 6 months (positive urine continue test).
- Females who were not surgically sterilized or were considering reversal of their surgical sterilization or were not at least 1 year postmenopausal.
- Females who had a positive urine pregnancy test at screening or on admission to the study site.
- Females who were lactating.

10

5

15

20

#### **Study Treatments**

Subjects were confined to the study site at least 12 hours prior to each treatment administration. In the morning of Day 1 following a 10 hour overnight fast, each subject received one of the following treatments based on his/her subject number and the study period. The order of treatment administration was determined according to a computer-generated random code supplied to the Investigator by the Sponsor:

Treatment A:

One desloratadine 7.5 mg tablet adminstered after a

10 hour fast.

Treatment B:

One deslorated in 7.5 mg tablet administered immediately following a standardized high-fat, high-

caloric breakfast.

15

10

5

Subjects randomized to receive the standardized high-fat, high-caloric breakfast (Treatment B) consumed the prescribed meal in a 20-minute period prior to drug administration and received desloratedine 7.5 mg tablet within 5 minutes after completing the breakfast.

20

Each dose was administered with 180 mL (6 fl oz) of non-carbonated room temperature water. The tablet was swallowed whole, not chewed or crushed. After dosing, the oral cavity was inspected to assure that the subject had swallowed the tablet. Subjects continued fasting (Treatment A) or did not eat again (Treatment B) until the 4- hour study procedures were completed, at which time lunch was served. Water was permitted throughout the fasting period except for 2 hours following treatment administration. The subjects remained awake and seated upright/ambulatory for 4 hours post-dose.. Subjects were under medical supervision throughout their confinement at the study site. Each treatment administration was separated by at least a 7 day washout period.

30

25

The desloratedine tablets were manufactured, packaged and supplied to the Investigator by Schering Corporation, Kenilworth, NJ, USA.

#### **Method of Treatment Assignment**

This was a randomized, open-label, two-way crossover study. Upon confinement at the research center and after fulfilling all the study entry requirements, subjects were randomly assigned to received Treatment A (fasted condition) or Treatment B (fed condition) in one of the following two treatment-sequences according to a computer-generated random code supplied by Schering-Plough Research Institute.

AB

Or

10 BA

5

15

20

25

30

Subjects who withdrew or were removed from the study were to be replaced at the discretion of the Sponsor. Their replacement was to be numbered by using the original subject's assigned number plus an "R" (i.e., 1 replaced to 1R). The dosing regimen of the new subject was to be according to the original subject's dosing regimen.

### Selection of Doses & Selection and Timing of Dose for Each Subject

The desloratedine dose of 7.5 mg was selected because this was projected to be the clinical dose; similar response is expeted with other doses, e.g., 5 or 10 mg/day.

Each subject received a single 7.5 mg desloratadine tablet on two occasions.

#### **Prior and Concomitant Therapy**

No other medications (investigational, prescription or OTC) except acetaminophen were taken by the subjects within 14 days of treatment initiation or during the course of the study without prior approval from the Principal Investigator or Sponsor unless it was a medical emergency. The use of any medications, including analgesics and over-the-counter medications that may have been used to treat adverse events, were to be recorded on the appropriate page of the case record form.

#### **Pharmacokinetics**

Blood samples were collected for determination of the plasma pharmacokinetic profile of desloratadine. Fifteen milliliters (15mL) of blood were collected just prior to drug administration (0 hour) and at 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 12, 24, 36, 48, 72, 96, 120, 144 and 168 hours after dosing in both periods. All blood samples were collected into heparin-containing tubes at the specified times. The blood samples were centrifuged within 30 minutes after collection for 20 minutes at approximately 4°C and at approximately 3000 rpm. The plasma was separated and transferred into two separate appropriately labeled tubes, frozen to at least -20°C and maintained in the frozen state until assayed for desloratadine content.

The plasma concentration data for desloratedine (following administration of desloratedine 7.5 mg) was used to estimate the following pharmacokinetic parameters:

Cmax - maximum observed plasma concentration

Tmax - time of observed maximum plasma concentration

AUC(tf) area under the plasma concentration vs time curve from time

zero to the final measurable sampling time(tf)

AUC(I) - area under the plasma concentration vs time curve from time zero to infinity(I)

K - terminal phase rate constant

25

30

5

10

15

20

The major pharmacokinetic variables of interest were the plasma AUC(tf) and Cmax. All plasma samples were assayed for deslorated concentration using a validated GLC/NPD method. The validation of the assay methods included documentation of its selectivity, limit of quantitation, linearity, precision and accuracy. The lower limit of quantitation (LOQ) of the assay was established at 0.1 ng/mL for desloratedine.

Plasma desloratadine concentration-time data were used to determine the pharmacokinetic parameters using model-independent methods. The maximum plasma concentration (Cmax) and time of maximum plasma concentration (Tmax) were the observed values. The terminal phase rate constant (K) was calculated as the negative of the slope of the log-linear terminal portion of the plasma concentration-time curve using linear regression. The terminal phase half-life (t1/2) was calculated as 0.693/K.

The area under the plasma concentration-time curve from time zero to the time of the final quantifiable sample [AUC(tf)] was calculated using the linear trapezoidal method and was extrapolated to infinity (I) according to the following equation:

$$AUC(I) = AUC(tf) + C(tf)K$$

where C(tf) is the estimated concentration at tf.

### **Safety Measurements Assessed**

For safety evaluation, physical examinations, vital signs, electrocardiograms and clinical laboratory tests were conducted at screening and at the conclusion of the study (168 hours post-treatment). In addition, vital signs were monitored prior to treatment administration and daily during both treatment periods. Additional clinical laboratory tests and ECGs were obtained prior to dosing in each treatment period. The assessment, severity and relationship to treatment of adverse events were evaluated .

### **SUMMARY CONCLUSIONS FOR Study No. 1:**

**RESULTS:** Study No. 1 was conducted as planned.

25 **Clinical Pharmacology:** The pharmacokinetics for the two-way cross-over study are presented in Tables I and II hereinbelow.

30

5

10

15

Table I

The Mean (%CV) pharmacokinetic parameters of desloratadine following oral, single-dose administration of 7.5 mg under fed and fasted conditions:

	N	FED	FASTED
Parameter (Unit)		Mean (%CV) <sup>1</sup>	Mean (%CV)
Cmax(ng/mL)	18	3.53 (33)	3.30 (36)
AUC(tf)(ng.hr/mL)	18	73.8 (81)	77.5(92)
AUC(I)(ng.hr/mL)	17	62.5(40)	63.5(45)

- 1. %CV is percent coefficient of variation, which is a relative measure of variability. See Steele and Torrie, "Principles and Procedures of Statistics", (1980) 2<sup>nd</sup>
- 5 Edition, McGraw-Hill, NY, at page 27.

**TABLE II** 

The estimates of bioavailability (log-transformed) of desloratadine					
under fed condition relative to that after fasting					
Parameter	Point Estimate(%) <sup>c</sup>	90% Confidence Interval <sup>d</sup>			
Cmax(ng/mL) <sup>a</sup>	108	99-118			
AUC(tf)(ng.hr/mL) <sup>a</sup>	100	93-107			
AUC(I)(ng.hr/mL) <sup>b</sup>	101	93-108			

a: n=18

b: n=17

10

c: Ratio of the mean value for fed vs. fasted.

d:  $\alpha$ =0.05(two-tailed)

**Safety:** Blood pressure, pulse rate, respiratory rate, oral body temperature and electrocardiogram evaluations showed no consistent changes of clinical relevance and remained within the range observed for healthy subjects. Overall, 9 of 18 (50%) subjects reported treatment-emergent adverse events. The most frequently reported adverse event was headache. All reported adverse events were mild in severity except one such event that was reported as moderated. No subject discontinued participation in the study due to adverse events and no intervention was required to treat any adverse event.

#### **CONCLUSIONS FOR STUDY No. 1:**

 Single oral does of desloratadine 7.5 mg administered under fed and fasted conditions were safe and well tolerated.

 Food intake had no effect on the oral bioavailability of desloratedine from the tablet formulation.

#### **CLINICAL DESIGN FOR STUDY No. 2**

This open-label, three-way crossover study in 30 healthly subjects was designed to evaluate the effect of food on the bioavailability of desloratadine syrup in accordance with the FDA guidelines for evaluating the effect of food on the bioavailability of drugs and to determine the bioequivalence of desloratadine between the tablet and syrup formulations. Subjects were randomized to receive one desloratadine 5.0 mg tablet under fasted conditions in a one treatment period or ten(10) mL of desloratadine syrup (0.5mg/mL) under fasted conditions in a second treatment period or ten(10) mL of desloratadine syrup(0.5mg/mL) immediately following a standardized high-fat, high-caloric breakfast meal in third treatment period.

#### STUDY OBJECTIVE

The objectives of Study No. 2 were to determine the bioequivalency of desloratedine between the tablet and syrup formulations and to evaluate the effect

5

10

15

20

25

of food on the bioavailability of desloratadine following administration of a syrup formulation.

#### **OVERALL STUDY DESIGN AND PLAN:**

A total of 30 healthy subjects were enrolled and successfully completed this randomized, open-label, single-dose, three-way crossover study.

Subjects were screened within 3 weeks of dosing, and those who met the entry criteria were confined to the study center within 12 hours prior to each treatment (Day -1). Upon confinement, subjects had safety laboratory tests and electrocardiograms repeated. The following morning, after fasting for a minimum of 10 hours, subjects received one of the following treatments based on his/her subject number and the study period:

Treatment A: One desloratadine 5 mg tablet administered after a 10-hour fast.

Treatment B: Ten (10) mL of desloratadine syrup

(0.5 mg/mL) following a 10-hour fast.

Treatment C: Ten (10) mL of desloratadine syrup

> (0.5 mg/mL)administered immediately following a standardized high-fat and high-

caloric breakfast.

Subjects who were randomized to receive the standardized high-fat and high-caloric breakfast (Treatment C), consumed the prescribed meal in a 20-minute period prior to drug administration and received the appropriate dose of desloratedine within 5 minutes after completing the breakfast.

The tablets were administered with 180 mL (6 fl oz) of noncarbonated room temperature water. The tablet was swallowed whole, not chewed or crushed. After dosing, the oral cavity was inspected to assure that the subject swallowed the tablet/syrup. For subjects randomized to Treatment B or Treatment C the

30

5

10

15

20

study medication was administered by having the volunteer drink the entire 10 mL of desloratadine syrup, followed by two 10 mL tap water rinses of the dose container (ie, oral syringe, etc.) to ensure complete dose intake. Subjects consumed the remaining 160 mL of water. Subjects continued fasting (Treatments A and B) or did not eat again (Treatment C) until the 4-hour study procedures were completed, at which time lunch was served. Water was permitted throughout the fasting period except for 2 hours following treatment. The subjects remained awake and seated upright/ambulatory for 4 hours post-dose.

All subjects were confined to the study site until the 120-hour study related procedures were obtained. No strenuous physical activity was permitted, and the subjects were not allowed visitors while they were confined to the study site. A physician was present for all drug administrations and remained on the study site for at least four hours post-dose. A washout period of at least 14 days separated each period of the study.

Vital signs and ECGs were performed and blood samples were collected at prespecified times for safety and pharmacokinetic evaluations. Subjects were continually observed and questioned throughout the study for possible occurrence of adverse events. Subjects were also instructed to report any unusual experiences or discomfort.

#### **OVERALL STUDY DESIGN:**

Since Study No. 2 was conducted to determine the bioequivalency of desloratedine between the tablet and syrup formulations and to evaluate the influence of food on the oral bioavailability (AUC and Cmax) of desloratedine of the syrup formulation an open-label, randomized, three-way crossover design was used to meet the study objective.

The Inclusion Criteria and Exclusion Criteria used for Study No. 2 were the same as those used for Study No. 1 except that:

5

10

15

20

- Subjects who smoked, used tobacco products or used an adjunct to smoking cessation within the past 6 months (positive urine continue test) were not excluded; and
  - Females who were not post-menopausal or those who were not practicing an adequate contraceptive method as well as females who had a positive urine pregnancy test at screening or on admission to the study site, or those who were lactating were excluded.

#### **Method of Treatment Assignment**

This was a randomized, open-label, three-way crossover study. Upon confinement at the research center and after fulfilling all the study entry requirements, subjects were randomly assigned to receive Treatment A, Treatment B or Treatment C in one of the following six treatment-sequences according to a computer-generated random code:

15

20

25

5

ABC BCA
ACB CAB
BAC CBA

#### **Pharmacokinetics**

Plasma concentrations of desloratadine and 3-OH desloratadine were determined using a validated liquid chromatography with tandem mass spectrometric (LC/MS/MS) method with a lower limit of quantitation (LOQ) of 0.025 ng/mL and a linear range of 0.025-10 ng/mL for each analyte.

The mean and %CV were calculated for plasma concentrations of desloratedine and 3-OH desloratedine at each time point. Concentration values less than the assay LOQ (0.025 ng/mL) were reported as and set to zero in the calculations. The plasma concentration-time data for desloratedine and

3-OH desloratadine were then subjected to pharmacokinetic analysis by noncompartmental methods using the WinNonlin<sup>™</sup> Professional computer program. For each subject, the following pharmacokinetic parameters were determined: maximum plasma concentration (Cmax), time of maximum plasma concentration (Tmax), area under the plasma concentration-time curve (AUC), time of final quantifiable sample (tf) and terminal phase half-life (t 1/2). Cmax, Tmax and tf were the observed values. The AUC values from time zero to the final measurable sampling (AUC[tf]) and from time zero to infinity (AUC[i]) were calculated using the linear trapezoidal method described for Study No. 1.

The terminal phase rate constant (K) was calculated as the negative of the slope of the log-linear terminal portion of the plasma concentration-time curve using linear regression. The t 1/2 was calculated as 0.693/K.

#### Pharmacokinetic Assessment of Desloratadine.

The mean plasma concentration-time data for desloratedine and 3-OH desloratedine are summarized in Tables III and IV.

Following oral administration, desloratedine was absorbed and slowly metabolized to 3-OH desloratedine (the active metabolite). In general, the absorption of desloratedine from either tablet (fasted, Treatment A) or syrup (fasted [Treatment B] and fed [Treatment C]) occurred rapidly with no lag time.

The mean pharmacokinetic parameters for desloratedine among treatments were similar, ranging from 2.19 to 2.44 ng/mL for Cmax, and 47.4-to 52.0 ng·hr/mL for AUC(I), (Table III). For 3-OH desloratedine, similar results were observed (Table III). The mean values for Cmax, and AUC(I), ranged from 0.91-1.06 ng/mL, and 27.8-29.0 ng·hr/mL, respectively.

Statistical comparisons of log-transformed Cmax and AUC(I) values for Treatment B versus Treatment A and Treatment C versus Treatment B were performed for both desloratedine and 3-OH desloratedine Overall, the results suggested that there were no statistically significant differences in the bioavailabilities of desloratedine from the tablet and syrup formulations, and that

5

10

15

20

25

high-fat and high-caloric meals had no effect on the bioavailability of desloratadine from the syrup formulation.

The relative bioavailability and the 90% Confidence Inteverals (CIs) for the log-transformed Cmax and AUC(I) for desloratedine and 3-OH desloratedine are presented in Table IV. The CIs of AUC(I) and Cmax of desloratedine and 3-OH desloratedine for Treatment B relative to Treatment A met the 80-125% bioequivalence guideline. This indicates that the tablet and syrup formulations were bioequivalent. The corresponding CIs for the syrup under fasted and fed conditions met the bioequivalency criteria for Cmax (70-143%) and AUC (80-125%). Thus, a high-fat and high-caloric meal had no effect on the bioavailability of desloratedine or 3-OH desloratedine from the syrup formulation. There was also no effect of food previously seen with the tablet formulation.

5

#### **TABLE III**

Mean (%CV) Pharmacokinetic Parameters of Desloratadine and 3-OH Desloratadine in Healthy Adult Volunteers Following Single-Dose Oral Administration of Desloratadine Tablet and Syrup Formulations Under Either Fasted or Fed Condition (N=30)

	Pharmacokin	etic Parameters	
Treatment	Cmax (ng/mL)	AUC(tf) (ng·hr/mL)	AUC(I) (ng·hr/mL)
		Desloratadine	
Α	2.44 (41)	45.8 (44)	47.4 (45)
В	2.30 (51)	46.2 (71)	48.4 (74)
С	2.19 (62)	49.9 (90)	52.0 (93)
		3-OH Desloratadine	
Α	1.06 (34)	27.0 (25)	29.0 (24)
В	1.03 (38)	26.0 (28)	27.8 (28)
С	0.91 (38)	25.8 (31)	28.3 (31)

#### Where:

Treatment A=One 5 mg desloratadine tablet administered after a 10-hour fast.

Treatment B=Ten (10) mL desloratedine syrup (0.5 mg/mL) administered after a 10-hour fast.

Treatment C=Ten (10) mL desloratedine syrup (0.5 mg/mL) administered immediately following a standardized high-fat and high-caloric breakfast.

#### **TABLE IV**

Estimates of Bioequivalence and the 90% Confidence Intervals for the Log-Transformed Cmax and AUC(I) for Desloratadine and 3-OH Desloratadine in Healthy Adult Volunteers Following Single-Dose Oral Administration of Desloratadine Tablet and Syrup Formulations Under Fasted or Fed Condition

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Relative	Confidence
Formulations Compared		Bioavailability (%)	Interval (%) <sup>a</sup>
<u> </u>	D	esloratadine	
Treatment B/Treatment A	AUC(I)	95.4	84-108
	Cmax	92.5	84-102
Treatment C/Treatment B	AUC(I)	104	92-118
	Cmax	94.1	85-104
	3-0	H Desloratadine	
Treatment B/Treatment A	AUC(I)	94.9	89-101
	Cmax	96.5	89-104
Treatment C/Treatment B	AUC(I)	101	95-108
	Cmax	87.2	81-94

a: Ninety percent confidence interval.

#### Where:

5

10

Treatment A=One 5 mg desloratadine tablet administered after a 10-hour fast.

Treatment B=Ten (10) mL desloratedine syrup (0.5 mg/mL) administered after a 10-hour fast. Treatment C=Ten (10) mL desloratedine syrup (0.5 mg/mL) administered immediately following a standardized high-fat, high-caloric breakfast.

**SAFETY:** Overall, 14 of 30 subjects (47%) reported at least one adverse event ("AE") during the study. Eight of 30 (27%) subjects reported at least one AE during the fasted treatment period with the tablet, 4 of 30 (13%) subjects reported at least one AE during the fasted treatment period with the syrup and 7 of 30 (23%) subjects reported at least one AE during the fed treatment period with the syrup. The most common AE (regardless of association to treatment) was headache.

Six and 5 subjects reported headaches of mild and moderate severity, respectively. All headaches rated as moderate were treated with acetaminophen.

21

Ten of 30 subjects (33%) AEs were considered to be treatment-related treatment-emergent AEs. The most common treatment-related AEs were headache (10 of 30; 33%) and gastrointestinal disorders (2/30; 7%).

No serious nor unexpected AEs were reported. No subject discontinued participation in the study due to AEs.

There were no deaths or serious or significant adverse events. There were no clinically significant abnormal laboratory values. Blood pressure, pulse rate, electrocardiograms and oral body temperature evaluations showed no consistent changes of clinical relevance and remained within the range observed for healthy male and female subjects.

### **OVERALL CONCLUSIONS FOR STUDY NO. 2:**

The administration of deslorated in 5 mg, in either a tablet or syrup formulation, was found to be safe and well tolerated in this study. All adverse events were considered mild to moderate in severity and the incidence of adverse events was similar across treatments. No subject was discontinued from the study due to an adverse event.

In Study, the bioequivalence of the 5 mg desloratedine syrup formulation (0.5 mg/mL) was evaluated with the 5 mg desloratedine tablet formulation. Based on the 90% CIs for Cmax and AUC, the 2 formulations were found to be bioequivalent with respect to desloratedine as well as its major metabolite, 3-OH desloratedine. In addition, the relative bioavailability of the syrup under fed and fasted conditions was evaluated. No food effect was observed for the syrup as evidenced by the 90% CIs which also met the bioequivalence guidelines.

#### **CONCLUSIONS FOR STUDY NO. 2:**

- The tablet and syrup desloratadine formulations were bioequivalent.
- High-fat and high-caloric meal had no effect on the bioavailability of desloratedine from the syrup formulation.

5

10

15

20

#### **CONCLUSIONS FOR STUDY NOs. 1 & 2:**

Thus, food intake had no effect on the bioavailability of oral administrated desloratedine from the tablet or syrup formulations. Similar results are expected when the effect of food intake on the bioavailability of the rapidly-disintegrating desloratedine formulation is evaluated.

#### **GENERAL EXPERIMENTAL**

U.S.Patent No. 4,659,716 discloses methods of making desloratedine, pharmaceutical compositions containing it and methods of using desloratedine and pharmaceutical compositions containing it to treat allergic reaction in mammals.

U.S.Patent No. 5,595,997 discloses pharmaceutical compositions containing desloratedine and methods of using desloratedine for treating and preventing various disease states, e.g., allergic rhinitis.

Desloratadine is available from Schering Corporation, Kenilworth, N.J.

The pharmaceutical compositions of desloratedine can be adapted for any mode of administration e.g., for oral, e.g. tablet, syrup or rapidly-disintegrating tablet, parenteral, e.g., subcutaneous ('SC"), intramuscular ("IM"), and intraperitoneal ("IP"), topical or vaginal administration or by inhalation (orally or intranasally). Preferably desloratedine is administered orally.

Such pharmaceutical compositions may be formulated by combining deslorated or an equivalent amount of a pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof with a suitable, inert, pharmaceutically acceptable carrier or diluent that may be either solid or liquid. Deslorated may be converted into the pharmaceutically acceptable acid addition salts by admixing it with an equivalent amount of a pharmaceutically acceptable acid. Typically suitable pharmaceutically aceptable acids include the mineral acids, e.g., HNO<sub>3</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, HCl, HBr, organic acids, including, but not limited to, acetic, trifluoroacetic, propionic, lactic, maleic, succinic, tartaric, glucuronic and citric acids as well as alkyl or arylsulfonic acids, such as p-toluenesulfonic acid, 2-naphthalenesulfonic acid, or methanesulfonic acid. The preferred pharmaceutically acceptable salts are trifluoroacetate, tosylate, mesylate, and chloride. Deslorated in more stable as

5

10

15

20

25

the free base than as an acid addition salt and the use of the desloratadine free base in pharmaceutical compositions of the present invention is more preferred.

Solid form preparations include powders, tablets, dispersible granules, capsules, cachets and suppositories. The powders and tablets may be comprised of from about 5 to about 95 percent active ingredient. Suitable solid carriers are known in the art, e.g. magnesium carbonate, magnesium stearate, talc, sugar or lactose. Tablets, powders, cachets and capsules can be used as solid dosage forms suitable for oral administration. Examples of pharmaceutically acceptable carriers and methods of manufacture for various compositions may be found in A. Gennaro (ed.), Remington's Pharmaceutical Sciences, 18th Edition, (1990), Mack Publishing Co., Easton, Pennsylvania.

Liquid form preparations include solutions, suspensions and emulsions. As an example may be mentioned water or water-propylene glycol solutions for parenteral injection. Solid form preparations may be converted into liquid preparations shortly before use for either oral or administration. Parenteral forms to be injected intravenously, intramuscularly or subcutaneously are usually in the form of sterile solutions and may contain tonicity agents (salts or glucose), and buffers. Opacifiers may be included in oral solutions, suspensions and emulsions. Liquid form preparations may also include solutions for intranasal administration.

Aerosol preparations suitable for inhalation may include solutions and solids in powder form, which may be in combination with a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier, such as an inert compressed gas, e.g., nitrogen.

Also included are solid form preparations which are intended to be converted, shortly before use, to liquid form preparations for either oral or parenteral administration. Such liquid forms include solutions, suspensions and emulsions.

The compounds of the invention may also be deliverable transdermally. The transdermal compositions can take the form of creams, lotions, aerosols and/or emulsions and can be included in a transdermal patch of the matrix or reservoir type as are conventional in the art for this purpose.

Preferably, the pharmaceutical preparation is in a unit dosage form. In such form, the preparation is subdivided into suitably sized unit doses containing

5

10

15

20

25

appropriate quantities of the active component, e.g., an effective amount to achieve the desired purpose.

#### What is claimed:

5

15

20

- (1) The use of desloratadine for the preparation of a medicament for treating and/or preventing allergic and inflammatory conditions of the skin or airway passages in a human in need of such treating and /or preventing while avoiding a food effect associated with non-sedating antihistamines wherein the medicament comprises an amount of desloratadine effective for such treating and/or preventing.
- The use of desloratedine for the preparation of a medicament for treating and/or preventing seasonal or perennial allergic rhinitis in a human while avoiding a food effect associated with non-sedating antihistamines wherein the medicament comprises an amount of desloratedine effective for such treating and/or preventing.
  - (3) The use of desloratedine for the preparation of a medicament for treating and/or preventing atopic dermatitis or urticaria in a human in need of such while avoiding a food effect associated with non-sedating antihistamines wherein the medicament comprises an amount of desloratedine effective for such treating and/or preventing.
  - (4) The use of any preceding claim wherein the amount of desloratadine is about 2.5 mg/day to about 45 mg/day.
- 25 (5) The use of any preceding claim wherein the amount of desloratedine is about 5 mg/day to about 15 mg/day.
  - (6) The use of any preceding claim wherein the amount of desloratadine is about 5 mg/day to about 10 mg/day.
  - (7) The use of any preceding claim wherein the amount of desloratadine is about 5 mg/day in single or divided doses.

### **ABSTRACT**

The use of desloratedine for the preparation of a medicament for treating and/or preventing allergic and inflammatory conditions of the skin or upper and lower airway passages in a human while avoiding the food effect associated with non-sedating antihistamines, e.g., loratedine or fexofenadine is disclosed.

#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXA	MINING AUTHORITY		
То:	PATENT DEPA RECEIV		PCT
HOFFMAN, Thomas D. SCHERING-PLOUGH CORPORATION Patent Department K-6-1, 1990 2000 Galloping Hill-Road Kenilworth, New Jersey 07033-0530 ETATS-UNIS D'AMERIQUE	BOUTE TO	TH TOWN TH	TIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF E INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT (PCT Rule 71.1)
Applicant's or agent's file reference AL01056K			IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION
International application No. PCT/US00/25595	International filing date (da 19/09/2000	ny/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 21/09/1999
Applicant SCHERING CORPORATION et al.			

- 1. The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary examination report and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
- 2. A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
- 3. Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.

#### 4. REMINDER

From the

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices) (Article 39(1)) (see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary examination report. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

Name and mailing address of the IPEA/

Authorized officer

) D-

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich

Luck, E

Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Tel.+49 89 2399-8238



### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

A 11 Alo			т		
Applicant's or agent's file reference AL01056K		FOR FURTHER AC	TIALI	tification of Transmittal of International nary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)	
International application No.		International filing date (	dav/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)	
PCT/US	• •		19/09/2000	,,	21/09/1999
	al Pate	ent Classification (IPC) or nat		0	
Applicant					
SCHERI	NG C	CORPORATION et al.			
		ational preliminary exami smitted to the applicant a		prepared by this I	nternational Preliminary Examining Authority
2. This I	REPC	ORT consists of a total of	4 sheets, including this	s cover sheet.	
b	een a		is for this report and/or	sheets containing	tion, claims and/or drawings which have rectifications made before this Authority rthe PCT).
These	e ann	exes consist of a total of	sheets.		
3. This r	eport	contains indications relat	ting to the following iten	ns:	
1	$\boxtimes$	Basis of the report			
H		Priority			<i>;</i>
111		Non-establishment of op-	oinion with regard to no	velty, inventive ste	ep and industrial applicability
IV		Lack of unity of invention			
V	☒	Reasoned statement un citations and explanation			nventive step or industrial applicability;
VI		Certain documents cite	d		
VII		Certain defects in the in	• •		
VIII		Certain observations on	the international applic	ation	
Date of sub	missio	n of the demand		Date of completion	of this report
23/03/200	01			11.12.2001	
	exami	address of the international ning authority:		Authorized officer	A STATE OF SAME AND A STAT
<u></u>	D-80	pean Patent Office 298 Munich +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656	epmu d	Beeck, M	Was style of the s
Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465			•	Telephone No. +49	89 2399 8473

International application No. PCT/US00/25595

I. Basis of the report

1.	the and	receiving Office in r	tents of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to esponse to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):
	1-2	24	as originally filed
	Cla	aims, No.:	
	1-7	•	as originally filed
2.			uage, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the nternational application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	The	ese elements were a	vailable or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:
		the language of pul	ranslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).  blication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).  ranslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule
3.		-	eotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:
		contained in the inte	ernational application in written form.
		filed together with the	he international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subseque	ently to this Authority in written form.
		furnished subseque	ently to this Authority in computer readable form.
			the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in plication as filed has been furnished.
		The statement that listing has been fun	the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence nished.
1.	The	amendments have	resulted in the cancellation of:
		the description,	pages:
		the claims,	Nos.:
		the drawings,	sheets:
5.			n established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been eyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)):



International application No. PCT/US00/25595

(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.)

- 6. Additional observations, if necessary:
- V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- 1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes:

Claims

No:

Claims 1-7

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: No: Claims 1-7

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes:

Claims 1-7

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations see separate sheet

#### **SECTION V:**

- The documents are numbered according to their sequence in the search report. 1)
- The examination has been carried out assuming that the priority is valid, so that 2) the P-documents D5 and D6 have not been taken into consideration.
- The use of desloratadine for the treatment or prevention of allergies, particularly 3) seasonal or perennial allergic rhinitis, or atopic dermatitis or urticaria, while avoiding the food effect, is already known from documents D1 (see the abstract and column 3, lines 21 to 47, column 4, lines 34 to 53, column 7, lines 33 to 38, example 2, and the claims) and D2 (see the abstract, column 3, lines 8 to 54, column 4, lines 7 to 47, column 5, lines 27 to 45, example 2, and the claims).

Therefore the subject-matter of claims 1 to 7 is not novel (Article 33 (2) PCT).